UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,643	03/23/2004	Aaron V. Kaplan	015471-000910US	3899
7590 02/26/2008 GERARD VON HOFFMANN, III, ESQ. KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSEN & BEAR LLP			EXAMINER	
			SCHILLINGER, ANN M	
14TH FLOOR	2040 MAIN STREET, 14TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA 92614			3774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/807,643	KAPLAN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ANN SCHILLINGER	3774		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 L</u>	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 23,24 and 27-61 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 23,24 and 27-61 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition accomposition and accomposition and accomposition accomposition and accomposition accomposition and accomposition accompositi	cepted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the I	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/21/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23, 24, and 27-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaknovich (U.S. Pat. No. 5,749,890). Shaknovich discloses the following: a prosthesis (1) for placement at an os opening from a main body lumen to a branch body lumen; said prosthesis utilizing a balloon catheter and comprising: a radially expansible scaffold (16) having at least a first wall pattern; and at least five, congruent circumferential anchors (6A, 30) having a second wall pattern extending axially from an end of the scaffold. The position of the prosthesis will maintain the flow path in the main body lumen between the anchors and beyond the ostium opening. Shaknovich also discloses using radiopaque markers on the prosthesis. (col. 10, lines 58-64). The device disclosed by Shaknovich would be capable of moving from a branch vessel to a main vessel and vice versa. It has been held that the recitation that an element is capable of performing a function is not a positive limitation but requires only the ability to so perform. As described by Shaknovich, the stent will be activated by stent deployment has been achieved by withdrawing the balloon (11) into the deployment segment (2). The balloon is inflated (11A), thereby expanding the expandable stent-bearing portion (5A) and expanding and deploying the stent (6A). Note that the activated forward break segment (3F) prevents the guiding catheter (16), positioned in the parent conduit vessel

Art Unit: 3738

(15), from damaging or dislodging the expanded stent (6A). The forward break segment has been deactivated (3E) prior to withdrawal of the guide wire (14), balloon catheter (12), guiding catheter (16), and ostial shuttle stent delivery system (1) from the patient (please see Figures 14 and 15).

Shaknovich discloses the invention substantially as claimed, however,

Shaknovich does not disclose the device's specific axial length as claimed by the

Applicant. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time
the invention was made to use the claimed axial lengths on the device, since it has been
held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only
routine skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23, 24, and 27-61 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANN SCHILLINGER whose telephone number is (571)272-6652. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. 9 a.m. to 4 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,643 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

Ann Schillinger February 16, 2008

/Corrine M McDermott/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3738

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.